HEALTHCARE INTELLIGENCE · BRIEF 05

Why Brokers Must Evolve Beyond Renewal Management.

The benefits broker has been the central intermediary in the employer healthcare market for four decades. The role developed inside a structural reality that is now changing. The next ten years will reward the brokers and consultants who shift from being stewards of the annual renewal to being stewards of the workforce risk forecast. The transition is already visible inside the most sophisticated practices in the country.

Metra Healthcare Intelligence · Editorial Brief · Approximately 1,560 words ·

Published with full assumption disclosure

The role of the employer benefits broker, as it is widely practiced in the United States today, was shaped by an environment in which the only available analytic material was the claims report and the only available cost conversation was the annual renewal. Inside that environment, the broker performed an indispensable function. The broker assembled the request for proposal, negotiated with carriers and reinsurers, arbitrated plan design tradeoffs, supported open enrollment, and reconciled the financial outcome at the close of the plan year. For most of the past forty years, the value the broker delivered was concentrated in that annual rhythm.

The structural environment that produced the role is changing. The data layer is changing. The buyer expectations are changing. The competitive landscape inside the broker market itself is changing. The brokers and consultants who recognize the shift early will redefine what it means to advise an employer on healthcare. The brokers and consultants who do not will find that the value of the annual renewal motion, on its own, is steadily compressing.

What Has Changed in the Underlying Environment

Three changes in the underlying environment together account for the pressure on the traditional renewal role.

The first is the appearance of a continuous metabolic signal layer outside the carrier system. Continuous glucose monitoring is now used by tens of millions of healthy adults. Home blood pressure monitoring is routine. Sleep, fasting, body composition, and waist circumference are tracked by consumer devices that the workforce already owns. The metabolic syndrome cluster, including type two diabetes, hypertension, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, obesity, and coronary heart disease, is being expressed in measurable signals that the carrier did not previously have access to and that the broker can now build a practice around.

The second is the maturation of fiduciary and transparency expectations. Following the 2021 amendments to the Consolidated Appropriations Act and the broader transparency rule set, plan sponsors are operating under disclosure obligations that did not previously apply. Employers increasingly expect their broker to bring forward looking analytic discipline rather than only retrospective summary reporting. The traditional renewal narrative is no longer a complete answer to the fiduciary question.

The third is the entry of consolidated, capital backed competitors into the broker market. Several of the largest brokerage platforms in the country have been assembled through private equity sponsored consolidation over the past five years. These platforms are investing heavily in proprietary analytic tooling. A broker practice that competes only on relationship and renewal execution is now competing against firms that are layering predictive analytics on top of the same relationship. The competitive baseline has moved.

> *"The renewal will continue to happen every year. The brokers who win the next decade will be the brokers who arrive months before the renewal with a forecast the employer has never seen."*

What the Evolution Looks Like in Practice

The evolution is not a wholesale replacement of the renewal motion. The renewal still happens every year, and the broker who manages it well will continue to be valued. The evolution sits in the months between renewals, where the traditional model produced very little activity and the emerging model produces most of the value.

In the emerging model, the broker maintains an ongoing forecast of workforce metabolic trajectory and the cost exposure that trajectory implies. The broker reviews that forecast with the chief financial officer and the chief human resources officer on a quarterly cadence. The broker recommends intervention scenarios, models their financial impact, and tracks the

realized outcome against the projection. When the renewal cycle arrives, the broker walks into the carrier conversation holding the forecast, not waiting to receive one. The relationship with the employer shifts from a transactional one bounded by the annual cycle into an advisory one that operates continuously throughout the year.

This is the same evolution that has reshaped every other major advisory category in the past two decades. The tax advisor moved from annual filing to ongoing tax planning. The wealth advisor moved from product placement to continuous portfolio strategy. The cyber advisor moved from periodic audit to continuous risk monitoring. The benefits advisor is the next category to make the transition, and the path is well established.

The Economic Case for Brokers

The economic case for a broker to make this transition is straightforward. A practice that competes on annual renewal execution alone is operating in a market where the price of that execution is under steady downward pressure. A practice that competes on continuous forecasting, scenario modeling, and intervention strategy is operating in a market where the value of that capability is rising. The two practices will, within a small number of years, command meaningfully different fee structures for the same nominal book of business.

There is a second economic consideration that is often overlooked. The continuous advisory model produces a much higher retention rate than the annual transactional model. The chief financial officer who has spent four quarters reviewing the workforce forecast with the broker does not lightly move the relationship. The chief financial officer who only encounters the broker at renewal time is, by definition, comparing one annual transaction against another. The shift from transactional to advisory is, in any market, also a shift from a churn driven business model to a compounding one.

Where Metra Sits in the Broker's Practice

Metra is built to be the forecasting layer that the broker brings to the employer. The platform handles the continuous metabolic signal capture, the individual level modeling under strict privacy controls, the aggregation into population level views, and the projection of cost exposure with thirty, sixty, and ninety day horizons. The broker uses the resulting forecast as the basis for the quarterly conversation with the employer, the renewal conversation with the carrier, and the strategic conversation with the board if the engagement reaches that level.

The broker remains the relationship owner. The broker remains the strategic counselor. The broker remains the party that arbitrates plan design and negotiates carrier pricing. What Metra adds is the predictive analytic substrate that the practice has not previously had access to, delivered in a form that the broker can put in front of a chief financial officer without translation.

A PRACTICAL TEST

A broker practice that wants to assess its readiness for the next decade can run a single test. Choose a representative client. Ask whether the practice could, today, walk into that client's audit committee meeting and present a workforce healthcare cost exposure forecast for the coming four quarters, with intervention scenarios modeled and a recommended action plan. If the answer is no, the gap is the gap that the forecasting layer is built to close.

The Broker Partnership Posture

Metra works with broker and consultant partners on a structured partnership basis. The partnership preserves the broker as the trusted advisor to the employer. The platform is positioned inside the broker's analytic and advisory stack. Reporting is delivered under the broker's relationship. The economics of the partnership recognize the broker as the originating party. The intent is not to disintermediate the broker. It is to give the broker a capability that materially changes what the broker can deliver to the employer, particularly in the months between renewals where the relationship has historically been least active.

For many practices, this is the first opportunity in a generation to expand the scope of the engagement with the employer in a direction that the employer is actively asking for and that the rest of the market does not yet routinely provide. The brokers who take that step early will set the new expectation for what advisory in employer healthcare looks like.

REFERENCES

1. **Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al.** Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2002; 346(6): 393 to 403.
2. **Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M.** Prediabetes: a high risk state for diabetes development. *The Lancet*. 2012; 379(9833): 2279 to 2290.
3. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.** Health and Economic Costs of Chronic Diseases. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2017 with subsequent updates.

4. **Milken Institute.** The Costs of Chronic Disease in the United States. 2019.
5. **United States Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration.** Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021, group health plan transparency and fiduciary provisions.
6. **Kaiser Family Foundation.** Employer Health Benefits Annual Survey. 2023.
7. **Willis Towers Watson.** Best Practices in Health Care Employer Survey. 2023.
8. **National Association of Health Underwriters.** Compensation and Practice Benchmark Studies. Multiple years.